arXiv:1611.01380v2 [math.RT] 30 Dec 2016

Quiver relations and associated symmetric polynomials

Dimitry Noshchenko

January 2, 2017

Abstract

The idea is to identify certain path algebra elements with symmetric functions. We propose such a morphism by solving the quiver relations, which describe the Plucker-type embedding for quiver grassmannians.

1 Introduction

Quiver is a directed graph with multiply edges and loops allowed. Let Q = finite quiver with k vertices and l arrows. Quiver representation M is a collection of vector spaces and linear maps, attached to each vertex and arrow of Q:

$$M = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \cup \{M_{v,i,j}\}, \quad \dim(V_i) = d_i, \quad M_{v,i,j} = Mat(d_i \times d_j, \mathrm{k}) : V_i \to V_j \tag{1}$$

Quiver grassmannian $Gr_{\underline{e}}(Q, M)$ of dimension vector $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_k)$ contains all $\underline{e}$-dimensional subspaces of M, compatible with the underlying linear maps.

Fix an ordered basis $\mathcal{B} = \{1, 2, \dots, d_1, d_1 + 1, \dots, d_l\}$, $I \subset B$ for all V_is. Quiver relations (QR) are of the form [4]:

$$\sum_{s',t'} (-1)^{\epsilon(s',t')} M_{v,s',t'} \ \Delta^{s'}_{s} \ \Delta^{t}_{t'} - \sum_{s'} (-1)^{\epsilon(s',t)} M_{v,s',t} \ \Delta^{s'}_{s} \ \Delta_{I} = 0, \quad I \subset \mathcal{B}, \quad |I| = e \tag{2}$$

Define $Fset$ as a collection of all non-vanishing Δ^i_j's in 2. Our aim is to generate polynomials which are sums of GL characters with coefficients given by the embedding

$$Gr_{\overline{(e)}}(Q, M) \xrightarrow{\phi_1} Gr(e, d) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} \mathbb{P}^{e+d-1}, \quad e = \sum e_i, d = \sum d_i \tag{3}$$

Define 2 kind of invariants:

$$P(Q, s, \mathbf{M}) = \sum_R \Delta_R \chi_R(\overline{t}) \tag{4}$$

with Δ = homogeneous coordinate on the image of embedding 3, and

$$\tilde{P}(Q, s, \mathbf{M}) = \sum_{R'} \tilde{\Delta}_{R'} \chi_R(\overline{t}) \tag{5}$$

with $\tilde{\Delta} \in Fset$ only (PR are not taken into part). Note that P, P' are inhomogeneous in R, R' (may contain Young diagrams of different size).

Proposition 1. *In case of $\chi_\lambda = s_\lambda$ polynomials $P(Q, s, \mathbf{M})$ form a basis of Symm algebra.* This seems

to be obvious, but not the only one possible choice of χ.
Write $\mathbf{M}$ as block matrix:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & M_{1,3} & \dots \\ M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} & M_{2,3} & \\ M_{3,1} & M_{3,2} & M_{3,3} & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

with each $M_{i,j}$ corresponding to (i,j) linear map.

2 Computation of $P, \tilde{P}$. Quiver relations as vanishing minors

2.1 $Q = A_2$, $M = \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}, \mu = Mat(2,2,\mathbb{C})\}, \overline{e} = (1,1)$

$I = \{2,4\}$: One non-trivial equation, $\#(+,-) = (2,2)$

$$F(v,2,3) = \mu_{v,1,4}\Delta_{\{1,4\}}\Delta_{\{2,3\}} + \mu_{v,2,4}\Delta_{\{2,4\}}\Delta_{\{2,3\}} - \mu_{v,1,3}\Delta_{\{1,4\}}\Delta_{\{2,4\}} - \mu_{v,2,3}\Delta_{\{2,4\}}{}^2 = 0 \tag{7}$$

Or:

$$F(v,2,3) = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{v,1,4} & 0 & \Delta_{\{2,4\}} \\ \mu_{v,2,4} & \Delta_{\{1,4\}} & \frac{\mu_{v,2,3}\Delta_{\{2,4\}}{}^2}{\mu_{v,1,4}\Delta_{\{2,3\}}} \\ \mu_{v,1,3} & \Delta_{\{2,3\}} & \Delta_{\{2,3\}} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{v,1,4} & \Delta_{\{2,4\}} & \Delta_{\{2,4\}} \\ -\mu_{v,2,4} & \Delta_{\{1,4\}} & -\frac{\mu_{v,2,3}\Delta_{\{2,4\}}}{\mu_{v,1,3}} \\ \mu_{v,1,3} & 0 & \Delta_{\{2,3\}} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$, \quad \Delta_{1,2} = 0, \quad \Delta_{3,4} = 0 \tag{9}$$

Note that if we inverse the arrow, our equation will change:

$$F(v,4,1) = \mu'_{v,3,2}\Delta_{\{2,3\}}\Delta_{\{1,4\}} + \mu'_{v,4,2}\Delta_{\{2,4\}}\Delta_{\{1,4\}} - \mu'_{v,3,1}\Delta_{\{2,3\}}\Delta_{\{2,4\}} - \mu'_{v,4,1}\Delta_{\{2,4\}}{}^2 = 0, \tag{10}$$

where μ' is the inverse map. Now fix $\mu = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p \\ 1-p & p \end{pmatrix}$ – quantum permutation matrix from https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.4888. Combining this with the only Plu"cker identity

$$\Delta_{\{1,2\}}\Delta_{\{3,4\}} - \Delta_{\{1,3\}}\Delta_{\{2,4\}} + \Delta_{\{1,4\}}\Delta_{\{2,3\}} = 0 \tag{11}$$

we obtain our polynomial invariant:

$$\boxed{P(A_2,4,\mu) = Qh_1 - \frac{Q(-Wp - Q + pQ)h_2}{W - Wp + pQ} + W\left(h_1{}^2 - h_2h_0\right) - \frac{(-Wp - Q + pQ)W(h_1h_2 - h_3h_0)}{W - Wp + pQ}} \tag{12}$$

where Q, W are arbitrary complex numbers.

2.2 $M = \{\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^2, \mu = Mat(4,4,\mathbb{C})\}, \overline{e} = (2,2)$

$I = 3,4,7,8$: We have 2x2 non-trivial quiver equations () with $\#(+,-) = (4,5),(6,3)$ One of them ()

$$F(v,3,5) = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{v,1,7} + \mu_{v,2,7} + \mu_{v,2,8} & \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} & \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} + 2\,\Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} \\ \mu_{v,3,8} - \mu_{v,3,7} - \mu_{v,1,5} & \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}} + 2\,\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} & 0 \\ -\mu_{v,1,8} + \mu_{v,2,5} + \frac{\mu_{v,3,5}\Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}}}{\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}} & \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} + \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}} & 2\,\Delta_{\{3,4,5,8\}} + \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$\mu_{v,2,8}\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8(\}}\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} + \mu_{v,3,8}\Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}}\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} - \mu_{v,1,5}\Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}\Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}}$$
$$-\mu_{v,2,5}\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}\Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} - \mu_{v,3,5}\Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}}{}^2$$

Can we rewrite them in a determinantal form?

cont.

$$F_(v,4,5) = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{v,4,7} & m_{2;1,2} & \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} \\ 0 & \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} & 0 \\ -\mu_{v,4,8} & m_{2;3,2} & \Delta_{\{3,4,5,8\}} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} \mu_{v,2,5} & 0 & \Delta_{\{3,4,5,8\}} \\ -\mu_{v,1,7} & \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}} & 0 \\ \mu_{v,2,7} & \Delta_{\{1,3,7,8\}} & \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} \end{vmatrix} + \tag{14}$$

$$+ \begin{vmatrix} -\mu_{v,1,8} & \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}} & \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} \\ \mu_{v,2,8} & \Delta_{\{1,3,7,8\}} & 0 \\ -\mu_{v,1,5} & -\frac{\mu_{v,4,5}\Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}}}{\mu_{v,2,8}} & \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Polynomial $P(A_2, 4, Id)$ define $P(Q, n, M)$ = linear combination of GL characters with coefficients running through the Fset. **this turns out to be a special base of Symm.** This case gives

$$Fset = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}} & \Delta_{\{1,3,7,8\}} & & \\ \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} & \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}} & & \\ \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} & & & \\ & \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} & & \\ & & \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}} & -\Delta_{\{1,3,7,8\}} \\ & & \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} & -\Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}} \\ & & \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} & \\ & & & \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

Now we can write down the explicit form of $P(A_2, 4, Id)$:

$$Fset = [\Delta_{\{1,3,7,8\}}, \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}, \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}, \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,5,8\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,6,7\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,6,8\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}}] \tag{16}$$

Assuming all free parameters = ± 1, we get the following solution:

$$Fset = [1, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, -1, -1, 1] \tag{17}$$

Now choose $\mu = \mu(p, q)$. Solving QR's independently, we get:

$$\Delta_{\{1,3,7,8\}} = -\frac{1}{pq}(\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} - 2\,\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}q - \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}p - \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} + p\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} + \tag{18}$$
$$+\Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}pq + \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q - p\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q - \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}q + p\Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}q)$$

$$\Delta_{\{3,4,5,8\}} = -\frac{1}{q}(\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} - \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}q - \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}p - \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} + p\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}) \tag{19}$$

$$\Delta_{\{3,4,6,7\}} = -\frac{1}{p(2q-1)}(2\,p\Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}q + 2\,\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}pq - 2\,p\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q - p\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} + 2\,p\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} - \tag{20}$$
$$-2\,\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}q + \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q - \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}q + \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} - \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}})$$

$$\Delta_{\{3,4,6,8\}} = \frac{1}{pq(2q-1)}(\Delta_{\{3,4,6,8\}} = 2\,p\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} - 2\,\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}q^2p - 2\,\Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}p^2q + 2\,p^2\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q + 2\,\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q^2p - \tag{21}$$

$$-2\,\Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}q^2p - p\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} + \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}p^2 - p^2\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} + 3\,\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}pq - 4\,p\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q +$$
$$+2\,\Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}pq + 2\,p\Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}q + 2\,\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q - \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}q +$$
$$\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} - \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} + 2\,\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}q^2 -$$
$$-\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}q^2 + \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}q^2 - \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}p - 3\,\Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}q)$$

Assuming $\left\{\Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}}, \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}}, \Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}}\right\} = \{1\}$, we can try to resolve all remaining (Plu"cker) relations. In this case

$$Fset = \left[-\frac{2\,q - qp}{qp}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -\frac{2\,qp - 2\,q + p}{p\,(-1 + 2\,q)}, \frac{-2\,q + 3\,qp - 2\,q^2p + 2\,q^2}{pq\,(-1 + 2\,q)}, 1\right] \tag{22}$$

Now we're almost ready to write our target polynomial. The only thing left is to convert the indices $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s$ to the corresponding Young diagram. In order to do this, expand the following determinant by Cauchy-Binet formula: We have:

$$\Delta_{\{1,3,7,8\}} \simeq \begin{vmatrix} h_2 & h_4 & h_5 & h_6 \\ h_1 & h_3 & h_4 & h_5 \\ 0 & h_2 & h_3 & h_4 \\ 0 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \end{vmatrix} = s_{\ydiagram{4,3,3,3}}, \Delta_{\{1,4,7,8\}} \simeq \begin{vmatrix} h_2 & h_3 & h_5 & h_6 \\ h_1 & h_2 & h_4 & h_5 \\ 0 & h_1 & h_3 & h_4 \\ 0 & 0 & h_2 & h_3 \end{vmatrix} = s_{\ydiagram{3,3,3,1}}, \Delta_{\{2,3,7,8\}} \simeq s_{\ydiagram{3,3,3,3}}, \tag{23}$$

$$\Delta_{\{2,4,7,8\}} \simeq s_{\ydiagram{3,2,3,3}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,5,7\}} \simeq s_{\ydiagram{1,1,4,4,4}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,5,8\}} \simeq s_{\ydiagram{1,1,4,4,3}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,6,7\}} \simeq s_{\ydiagram{1,1,3,3,3,2}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,6,8\}} \simeq s_{\ydiagram{1,1,3,3,3}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8\}} \simeq s_{\ydiagram{1,1,3,3,3}}.$$

2.3 $Q = A_2, d_i = 6, e_i = 3, \mu = \sigma(p, q, 6)$

Take 2 vertices v_1, v_2 with $V_1 = V_2 = \mathbb{C}^6$,

$$I = \left\{\underbrace{4, 5, 6}, \underbrace{10, 11, 12}\right\} \tag{24}$$

Recall that each Δ is a $(6, 6)$ complex minor. The following minors are supposed to vanish:

$$\{\Delta_{\{1,4,5,6,10,11\}}, \Delta_{\{1,4,5,6,10,12\}}, \Delta_{\{1,4,5,6,11,12\}}, \Delta_{\{2,4,5,6,10,11\}}, \Delta_{\{2,4,5,6,10,12\}}, \tag{25}$$
$$\Delta_{\{2,4,5,6,11,12\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,5,6,10,11\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,5,6,10,12\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,5,6,11,12\}}\} = \{0\}$$

Total $\#QR = 3^3$. (3 for each $iin\{4, 5, 6\}$: $F(v, i, j)$). For arbitrary μ these relations look really huge!

The choice $\mu = Id(6)$ kills most of the $Fset$ entries, so we can write $Fset$ as $(12, 12)$ sparse matrix with $\{0, \pm 1\}$ entries of the shape (empty space is filled with zeroes):

```
Fset=Matrix([seq(seq(Fset[1+i .. 12+i], i = 12*j), j = 0 .. 11)])
```

$$Fset = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & -1 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & 1 & -1 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & 1 & -1 & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & & & \end{pmatrix} \tag{26}$$

Finally, convert all survived $Fset$ entries to corresponding Young diagrams to get out target polynomial:

$$\tilde{P}(A_2, 6, Id) = s_{\lambda_1} - s_{\lambda_2} + s_{\lambda_3} + s_{\lambda_4} - s_{\lambda_5} + s_{\lambda_6} + \dots, \tag{27}$$



total $\#(0, +, -) = (120, 21, 3)$

2.4 $Q = A_3, d_i = 2, \mu_{i=1..2} = Mat(2, 2, \mathbb{C}), \overline{e} = (1, 1, 1)\}$

Now let's add one more vertex:

$$Q = A_3 : \quad \circ \xleftarrow{\mu_1} \circ \xleftarrow{\mu_2} \circ, \quad \mu_i \tag{28}$$

$$Gr_{(1,1,1)}(A_3, M) = \tag{29}$$

Choose $I = \{2, 4, 6\}$, so now we have the embedding

$$Gr_{(1,1,1)}(A_3, M) \longrightarrow Gr(3, 6) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{6+3-1} \tag{30}$$

For $v = 1$ we have the following non-trivial quiver relations:

$$F(1, 2, 3) = \mu_{1,1,4}\Delta_{\{1,4,6\}}\Delta_{\{2,3,6\}} + \mu_{1,2,4}\Delta_{\{2,4,6\}}\Delta_{\{2,3,6\}} - \mu_{1,1,3}\Delta_{\{1,4,6\}}\Delta_{\{2,4,6\}} - \mu_{1,2,3}\Delta_{\{2,4,6\}}{}^2 = 0 \tag{31}$$

Note that if $\mu = Id$, the solution looks as follows:

$$Fset = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\{1,4,6\}} & \Delta_{\{1,2,6\}} & \Delta_{\{1,2,4\}} \\ 1 & & \\ & \Delta_{\{1,4,6\}} & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \Delta_{\{1,4,6\}} \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{32}$$

where all empty cells are zeroes.

2.5 $Q = A_3, d_i = 4, \mu_1 = \sigma(p, q, 4), \mu_2 = Id$

Initial data:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}, I = \{3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12\} \tag{33}$$

$$\mu_1 = \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p & 0 & 0 \\ 1-p & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & 1-q \\ 0 & 0 & 1-q & q \end{pmatrix}, \mu_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{34}$$

This case reads

$$Fset' = \left\{1, \Delta_{\{2,3,4,7,8,11\}}, \Delta_{\{2,3,4,7,8,12\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8,9,11\}}, \Delta_{\{3,4,7,8,10,11\}}, -\frac{-q + 2\,qp}{q\,(-1 + 2\,p)}, -\frac{2\,p^2 q - qp}{qp\,(-1 + 2\,p)}\right\} \tag{35}$$

3 Diagrammatic notation and exact formulas for invariants

$\tilde{P}(A_n, 2k, \sigma)$**:**

$$\tilde{P}(A_2,4,\sigma) = \frac{(-2\,q - qp)\left(h_3{}^3 h_2 - h_3{}^2 h_1 h_4 - 2\,h_3 h_4 h_2{}^2 + 2\,h_4{}^2 h_2 h_1 - h_4 h_5 h_1{}^2 + h_5 h_2{}^3 - h_6 h_2{}^2 h_1 + h_6 h_3 h_1{}^2\right)}{qp} + \tag{36}$$
$$+ h_3{}^2 h_2{}^2 - h_5 h_3 h_1{}^2 - h_4 h_2{}^3 + 2\,h_5 h_2{}^2 h_1 - h_6 h_2 h_1{}^2 - 2\,h_3 h_4 h_2 h_1 + 2\,h_4{}^2 h_1{}^2 + h_3{}^2 h_2 h_1 - h_3 h_4 h_1{}^2 +$$
$$\frac{(-2\,qp - 2\,q + p)\left(h_5 h_3 h_1{}^2 - h_6 h_2 h_1{}^2\right)}{p\,(2\,q-1)} + \frac{\left(-2\,q + 3\,qp - 2\,q^2 p + 2\,q^2\right)\left(h_3 h_4 h_1{}^2 - h_5 h_2 h_1{}^2\right)}{pq\,(2\,q-1)} -$$
$$- h_4 h_2{}^2 h_1 + h_5 h_2 h_1{}^2 + h_4 h_5 h_1{}^2 - h_6 h_3 h_1{}^2 + h_3{}^2 h_1{}^2 - h_4 h_2 h_1{}^2$$

What is the asymptotic formula for $\#+,-$ in $Fset(Id, m)$, when $m \to \infty$? The first few terms are shown on the figure: $Fset$ matrix arrangement for A_4 quiver with $d_i = 4, \mu_i = Id$:



Figure 1: Convergence of $\{\frac{\#+}{\#-}\}$ sequence, A_2 quiver, $\mu = Id, \dim(M) = i$

$$Fset = \begin{pmatrix}
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 & x_8 \\
x_1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} & x_{15} & x_{16} & x_{17} \\
1 & & & & & & & \\
 & 1 & & & & & & \\
 & & x_1 & -x_2 & x_{18} & x_{19} & x_2 & x_{21} \\
 & & x_1 & -x_{11} & x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{24} & x_{25} \\
 & & 1 & & & & & \\
 & & & 1 & & & & \\
 & & & & x_1 & x_2 & & \\
 & & & & x_1 & x_{11} & & \\
 & & & & 1 & & & \\
 & & & & & 1 & & \\
 & & & & & & x_1 & -x_2 \\
 & & & & & & x_1 & -x_{11} \\
 & & & & & & 1 & \\
 & & & & & & & 1
\end{pmatrix} \tag{37}$$

where $x_j = \Delta_{I(j)}$. Compare this to $A_2, d_i = 8, \mu_i = Id$ case:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 & x_1 & -x_2 & x_3 & -x_4 & & & & \\
 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 & x_8 & & & & \\
 & x_9 & x_1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & & & & \\
 & x_{13} & x_{14} & x_{15} & x_{16} & & & & \\
 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
 & & 1 & & & & & & \\
 & & & 1 & & & & & \\
 & & & & 1 & & & & \\
 & & & & & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\
 & & & & & x_5 & -x_6 & x_7 & -x_8 \\
 & & & & & x_9 & -x_1 & x_{11} & -x_{12} \\
 & & & & & x_{13} & -x_{14} & x_{15} & -x_{16} \\
 & & & & & 1 & & & \\
 & & & & & & 1 & & \\
 & & & & & & & 1 & \\
 & & & & & & & & 1
\end{pmatrix} \tag{38}$$

<u>Totally positive</u> $Fset$ arrangement for $A_4 \times$ mutations, $d_i = 6, \mu = Id$:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1
\end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

4 ●



(40)



$\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{P}_+ - \tilde{P}_-)$ for cyclic 6-vertex graph:



(41)

Lemma 1. *Asymptotic formula for* $\tilde{P}_{\max}(Q_{\mathrm{univ}}(n), n, Id)$*:*

- $m \times m$ *"cubic ladder" decomposition* $\simeq \Delta$*-summands in* $\tilde{P}_I$
- *each* Δ*-summand consists of Young diagrams factorized by the "ladder-block" addition*

The formula:

$$\boxed{\tilde{P}_{\max}(Q(m), n, Id) = \sum_{i=1..m\cdot \mathrm{cl}\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)} \sum_{j=1..n} s_{\lambda(i,j)} \Delta_{\lambda(i,*)},} \tag{42}$$

$\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{P}_+ - \tilde{P}_-)$ for cyclic 8-vertex graph:



5 Re-evaluation of $\tilde{P}$ for Coxeter quivers. "Vertex at ∞"

Let Q be a quiver with m vertices and all possible arrows (but only 2 opposite arrows for each pair of vertices is allowed, so the direction $v = \pm 1$) . Choose $n, e \in \mathbb{N}$ and the integer-valued vectors

$$B = \{1, \dots, m \cdot n\}, I = \{jn - i + 1\}_{i=1..[n/2], j=1..m} \subset B, |I| = e, \tag{44}$$

where $[x]$ is the integer part of x. Fix the representation $R(Q)$: vertices $\sim V_i(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, arrows $\sim$ transposition matrices $M_{v,i,j} = \sigma(\lambda_{i,j})^{\mathrm{sign}(v)}$.

Each $\sigma(\lambda_{i,j})$ is a $(n \times n)$ transposition matrix, partitioned by $\lambda_{i,j}$ for the (i,j)-th arrow. We fix *the particular choice* $\boxed{R = \Sigma_0}$:

$(i,j) \to \lambda_{i,j} : (1,4) \to$, $(1,3) \to$, $(1,2) \to$, $(2,4) \to$, $(2,3) \to$, $\dots$ (45)

Assume also $\dim(\mathrm{Gr}(Q,R)) = \boxed{\overline{e} = \left[\frac{1}{2}\overline{n}\right]}$ for the corresponding quiver grassmannian [2, 4], $e_i = e_j \ \forall i,j$. For instance, the case $(m,n) = (4,4)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{M}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&0&1&0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 0&1&0&0\\1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&0&1&0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0&1&0&0\\1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1 \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{46}$$

and $\mathbf{M}_-$ equals by-block inverse of $\mathbf{M}_+$. Choose some path $x \in \mathcal{P}(Q)$ and solve the quiver relations with vanishing conditions [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s',t'} (-1)_{\epsilon(s',t')} M_{v,s',t'} \ \Delta_s^{s'} \ \Delta_{t'}^{t} - \sum_{s'} (-1)_{\epsilon(s',t)} M_{v,s',t} \ \Delta_s^{s'} \ \Delta_I = 0, \\ &\Delta_J = 0 \text{ for some non-proper } J\text{'s} \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Define symmetric polynomials

$$\boxed{\tilde{P}_R(x) = \sum_{i,j} \Delta_{\mu_{i,i}} s_{\mu_{i,j}}(p_1, p_2, \dots)}, \tag{48}$$

where $\mu_{i,j}$ is a partition derived from the Cauchy-Binet expansion for each Δ's, s_μ is a Schur function.

Prop 1. *The map $f : x \mapsto \tilde{P}_R(x)$ is a homomorphism on a "proper" subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, s.t. for any $x, y \in S$*

$$\tilde{P}_R(x \cdot y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu,\eta,\nu} \left(\mathrm{coeff}(\tilde{P}_R(x), \Delta_\mu) - \mathrm{coeff}(\tilde{P}_R(y), \Delta_\eta) \right) \Delta_\nu \simeq_{|_{Res(\Delta)}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{P}_R(x) - \tilde{P}_R(y) \right) \tag{49}$$

<u>Note:</u> this construction is very sensitive to the choice of R (if we swap the transposition matrices, S will change).

Lemma 2. *If $x \in \mathcal{P}(Q)$ is of spiral type 1, then*

$$\tilde{P}_\pm(x) \equiv 0, \tag{50}$$



Figure 2: Examples of "good" (left) and "bad" (right) combinations of paths in $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ for $(m, n) = (10, 10)$. "Good" means the image of f is not empty



Figure 3: Examples of a non-trivial loops *spiral type 1* (Coxeter odd type $\tilde{A}_n$) for $(m, n) = (6, 6), (8, 8), (10, 10), \ldots$

where "$\pm$" means that we take a doubled path with $v = \pm 1$. This lemma can be improved if we take all irreducible subsets of the set of all arrows of Q, on which our polynomial vanishes. For instance, each minimal null-set for 4-vertex quiver is trivial, 6-vertex: $\{(1, 6), (3, 4), (1, 5), (3, 6)\}$ - is not minimal, but irreducible (recall that in this case orientation does not matter), 8-vertex: $\{(2, 7), (3, 6), (2, 6), (3, 5)\}$, 12-vertex: $\{(5, 8), (3, 10), (4, 9), (4, 8), (5, 7), (3, 9)\}$ and so on. Let's write the corresponding symmetric polynomials explicitly:

$$\hat{P}_i = \left. \frac{\tilde{P}_+(x_i) - \tilde{P}_-(x_i)}{2} \right|_{\lambda_{j,k} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(\lambda_{j,k})}, \quad \mathcal{Y}(l_i) = \emptyset, \mathcal{Y}(\lambda > l_i) = [\lambda - l_i] \tag{51}$$

$$\hat{P}_4 = 0$$

$$\hat{P}_6 = \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,3}_{\,2}} - s_{\ydiagram{2,1,3}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{3}_{\,3}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,2}} - s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,3}_{\,3}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,4}_{\,2}} - s_{\ydiagram{2,4}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,3}_{\,3}}$$

$$\hat{P}_8 = \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{2,1,1,3}_{\,2}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{3}_{\,4}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{2,1,1,3}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{5}_{\,4}} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,3}_{\,4}} +$$

$$+ \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,5}_{\,4}} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,4}_{\,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,4}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,3}_{\,4}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,4}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,5}_{\,4}} +$$

$$+ \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{2,1,1,3}_{\,2}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,3,1}_{\,4}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,4}}$$

$$\hat{P}_{10} = \left(-s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,3}} + s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,4}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{3}_{\,9}} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,4}} - s_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,9}} +$$

$$+ \left(s_{\ydiagram{5}_{\,1}} - s_{\ydiagram{3}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{6,1}_{\,9}} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,3}_{\,3}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,4}_{\,4}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,3}_{\,9}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,5}_{\,2}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,3}} -\right.$$

$$\left. -s_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,4}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,5}_{\,9}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,5}_{\,1}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,3}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,9}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,4}_{\,4}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,3}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,3}_{\,9}} +$$

$$+ \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,3}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,4}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,6}_{\,9}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{2,6}_{\,1}} - s_{\ydiagram{2,3}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,9}} +$$

$$+ \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,3}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,4}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,3}_{\,9}} \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,1}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,4}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,3}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,2}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,6}_{\,9}} +$$

$$+ \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,1}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,9}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,4}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,9}} +$$

$$+ \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,4}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,2}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,1}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,6}_{\,9}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,1}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,9}}$$

$$\hat{P}_{12} = \left(s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,5}} - s_{\ydiagram{4}_{\,4}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{3}_{\,11}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,4}} + s_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,5}} - s_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,2}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{6}_{\,11}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,4}_{\,5}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,4}_{\,4}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,3}_{\,11}} +$$

$$+ \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,5}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,6}_{\,4}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,5}_{\,11}} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,4}_{\,4}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,4}_{\,5}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,3}_{\,11}} +$$

$$+ \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,4}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,2}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,5}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{2,6}_{\,11}} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,4}_{\,4}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,4}_{\,5}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,3}_{\,11}} +$$

$$+ \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,4}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,5}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,3}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,2}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,6}_{\,11}} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,4}_{\,5}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,4}_{\,4}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,3}_{\,11}} +$$

$$+ \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,2}} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,4}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,5}} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,3}} \right) \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,1,6}_{\,11}} + \dots$$

(52)

Another (stand-alone) example:

$$-I\hat{P}_6(spiral_2) = s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,4} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,3} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,5} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,1} \tag{53}$$

Let $(m,n) = (6,6)$; consider the following two paths and their concatenation:



$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}(Q_1) = {} & \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,3} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,2}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1}\,5} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{2}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,3} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,5} - s_{\ydiagram{3}\,2}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{2}\,5} + \\
& + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,3}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{3}\,5} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,3} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,2}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1}\,5} + \\
& + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{3}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,3} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,5} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,0}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{2}\,5} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{3}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,3}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{3}\,5} + \\
& + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,3}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,5} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{2}\,3} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,5} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,0}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,5} + \\
& + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,3} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,0}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{3}\,5} \qquad \text{\# 5 is the longest arc} \\
\tilde{P}(Q_2) = {} & \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}\,4} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,5} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,1}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1}\,4} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{2}\,5} - s_{\ydiagram{3}\,1} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,4}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{2}\,4} + \\
& + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{3}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,4} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,1}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{3}\,4} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,1} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,4} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,5}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1}\,4} + \\
& + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,4} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,1}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{2}\,4} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}\,2} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,1} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,4}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{3}\,4} + \\
& + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}\,1} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,4} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,2}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,4} + \left(-s_{\ydiagram{3}\,1} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,4} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,2}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,4} + \\
& + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,1} + s_{\ydiagram{3,1}\,4} - s_{\ydiagram{3}\,5}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{3,1}\,4} \qquad \text{\# 4 is the longest arc} \\
\tilde{P}(Q_{1,2}) = {} & \left(-s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,2} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,1} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,4} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{2}\,3} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,5}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{2}\,3} + \left(s_{\ydiagram{2}\,5} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,1} - s_{\ydiagram{3}\,2} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,4} - s_{\ydiagram{2}\,0} + s_{\ydiagram{1}\,3}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1}\,3} + \\
& + \left(s_{\ydiagram{1}\,5} - s_{\ydiagram{1}\,0} - s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,4} + s_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,3} + s_{\ydiagram{3}\,1} - s_{\ydiagram{3}\,2}\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,3} = \\
= {} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{coeff}(P(Q_1), \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,5}) - \mathrm{coeff}(P(Q_2), \Delta_{\ydiagram{2}\,4})\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{2}\,3} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{coeff}(P(Q_1), \Delta_{\ydiagram{2}\,5}) - \mathrm{coeff}(P(Q_2), \Delta_{\ydiagram{3}\,4})\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1}\,3} + \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{coeff}(P(Q_1), \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,5}) - \mathrm{coeff}(P(Q_2), \Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1,1}\,4})\right)\Delta_{\ydiagram{1,1}\,3} = \frac{1}{2}\left(P(Q_1) - \mathrm{permut}(P(Q_2), \Delta_*)\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

(This is a particular example related to the proposition 1).

The next goal is to build infinite series like this

$$Z_R(S) = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{i,j} \left(\tilde{P}_R(x_i) - \tilde{P}_R(x_j) \right), \tag{55}$$

where $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Q_{n\to\infty})$ is a proper union of loops. Of course it can be rewritten in



Figure 4: Concatenation of $x_i \in S$ for $R = \Sigma_0$

terms of Schur function expansion

$$Z_R(S) = \sum_{\lambda} g_\lambda(\Delta) s_\lambda(p_1, p_2, \dots), \tag{56}$$

or, in our *"ladder notation"*, over all Γ-type *weighted* Young diagrams, using the $\mathcal{Y}$ operator

$$Z_R(S) = \sum_{\lambda_w} g_{\lambda_w}(\Delta) \tilde{s}_{\lambda_w}(p_1, p_2, \dots), \quad w \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lambda_w = \mathcal{Y}(\lambda) \tag{57}$$

Take $S' = \{x_1, x_2\} \subset \mathcal{P}(Q_{10}), (m,n) = (10,10)$. In this case the double-path polynomial is non-trivial (!)



(58)

(Here we assumed $\Delta_{[6,7,8,9,10,16,17,18,19,20,\dots,96,97,98,99,100]} = 1$ to simplify the formula). Its configuration is drawn on the figure 5 (right). Now let's extend the picture for x_3: The problem is that in this case $(m,n) = (16,16)$ the image is empty, so we should add some isolated points to the graph 5 (left).



Figure 5: Concatenation of $x_1, x_2 \in S'$ for $R = \Sigma_0$: compatible case

Let

$$X = \{G_{i_1}^{(j_1)}, G_{i_2}^{(j_2)}, \ldots, G_{i_s}^{(j_s)}\}^k \in \mathcal{P} \tag{59}$$

be an element of path algebra of $Q_{\text{univ}}(k)$, built as concatenation normal loops G_{i_1}, G_{i_2}, each one shifted on the j-th position. For example, consider 3-union $\{G_4^{(0)}, G_6^{(3)}, G_8^{(2)}\}^{10}$. QR has unique solution; furthermore, we take $\Delta_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_7} = 1$ (denominator) to homogenise the resulting formula. Here is the associated quiver:

$Q(X) =$ (60)



Then $\tilde{P}_{\pm}(X)$ become homogeneous in Δ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_{\pm}(X) = {} & \Big(s_{\substack{\square\\\square\square\square\square\square\square}_9} + s_{\square_0} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\square}_5} + s_{\square_1} + s_{\square_2} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_4} + s_{\square_6} + s_{\square_3} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\square\square\square\square\square}_8} + s_{\square_7} \Big) \Delta_{\square_6} + \\
& + \Big(s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_0} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\square\square\square\square}_8} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_3} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_1} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_6} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_5} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_2} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_4} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_7} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\square\square\square\square}_9} \Big) \Delta_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_6} + \\
& + \Big(s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_2} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_7} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_6} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_3} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_5} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_4} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square\square\square\square\square}_9} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_0} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_1} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square\square\square\square\square}_8} \Big) \Delta_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_6} + \\
& + \Big(s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square\\\square\square\square\square\square}_9} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square\square\square\square\square}_8} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_1} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_7} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_5} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_6} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_0} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_2} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_3} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_4} \Big) \Delta_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_6} + \\
& + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_0} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square}_1} + s_{\substack{\square\square\square\square\square\square}_8} + s_{\square_5} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_3} + s_{\substack{\square\square\square\square\square\square}_9} + s_{\square_4} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square}_6} + s_{\substack{\square\\\square\\\square\\\square\\\square}_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Remark: we can see <u>only antisymmetric coefficients</u> Δ here! To extend this case for G_{10} one should exclude $G_8^{(2)}$.



Figure 6: Non-trivial concatenation of loops $X = \{G_4^{(0)}, G_6^{(3)}, G_8^{(2)}\}^{10}$ and $X' = \{G_4^{(0)}, G_6^{(3)}, G_8^{(2)}, \underline{G_{10}^{(2)}}\}^{10}$ (only the largest arcs are shown)

The resulting picture is that <u>each loop can be scaled separately</u>, such that increasing k, s simultaneously does not change the consistency of QR. Our next aim is to investigate asymptotical properties, derived from $\{G_{i_1}^{(j_1)}, G_{i_2}^{(j_2)}, \ldots, G_{i_s}^{(j_s)}\}^k$ when $k, s \to \infty$. This is of further development.

References

[1] Alistair Savage, Peter Tingley Quiver grassmannians, quiver varieties and the preprojective algebra // http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.3746

[2] Maxim Kontsevich, Yan Soibelman Lectures on motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and wall-crossing formulas // arxiv preprint, 2011

[3] Oliver Lorscheid, Thorsten Weist Quiver Grassmannians of type extended Dynkin type D - Part 1: Schubert systems and decompositions into affine spacess // https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00392

[4] Oliver Lorscheid, Thorsten Weist Homogeneous coordinates for quiver grassmannians // http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.01058v1

[5] Oliver Lorscheid, Thorsten Weist Quiver Grassmannians of extended Dynkin type D - Part 2: Schubert decompositions and F-polynomials // http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00395